

U.S. district court,  
Western Division of Arkansas (Hot Springs)

Sherrel-Jean: House of Courvelle, Claimant  
v.  
STATE OF ARKANSAS

-----  
**SUPREME COURT OF ARKANSAS**

Petitioner  
Sherrel-Jean: House of Courvelle  
v.  
Respondent  
State of Arkansas

-----  
**GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT/CIRCUIT  
COURTS**

Claimant  
STATE OF ARKANSAS  
v.  
Defendant  
RES: SHERREL JEAN COURVELLE

-----  
Arkansas State Court-  
Common Law  
Claimant  
Sherrel-Jean: House of Courvelle,  
a woman,  
v.  
Accused  
Joe Graham  
Meredith Switzer  
Danny Thrailkill  
Darryl Mahoney  
Kara Ann Petro  
Michelle Coe Lawrence

Entire cases with evidence can be read at  
[www.thearkansascourtsystem.net](http://www.thearkansascourtsystem.net)  
Click on Arkansas State Common Law  
Court

Case Number- 6:24-cv-06088-SOH-BAB

) On Petition of the Writ of Habeas Corpus  
) Writ of Error  
) Writ of Conspiracy  
) Writ of Quo Warranto  
) Notice of Conspiracy and Liability  
) OBJECTION to DENIAL of Habeas Corpus  
) ORDER FOR RELEASE- State Justice Will Harrison  
) -----

) Case Number – CR-24-193

) On Petition for an Extraordinary Writ Position  
) for a Writ of Prohibition

) On Petition for a Motion of Discharge for False  
) Imprisonment  
) -----

) Case Numbers:  
) HTC-18-5727  
) 26CR-19-84  
) HTC-21-523  
) 26CR-21-123  
) HTS-21-3296  
) 26CR-21-531  
) HTS-21-1411  
) HTS-23-4237  
) HTC-23-3289  
) 26CR-23-712  
) -----

) Case Number: Ar-026-2023-001

) TRESPASS  
) TRESPASS ON THE CASE  
) MALFEASANCE/DERELICTION OF DUTY  
) THEFT AND UNLAWFUL CONVERSION  
) CRIME OF PERSONAGE  
) TORT OF MALICIOUS PROSECUTION  
)



Sherrel Jean Courvelle, #707159  
McPherson Unit  
302 Correction Drive, BK19  
Newport, Arkansas 72112

**OBJECTION to DENIAL of Writ of Habeas Corpus  
Writ of Quo Warranto  
Notice of Conspiracy and Liability  
ORDER FOR RELEASE - State Justice Will Harrison**

**OBJECTIONS TO THE DENIAL OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

**STATE CITIZENSHIP**

1. Comes Now, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, the living woman, an **Arkansas State Citizen**, standing on the **Land and Soil Jurisdiction**. I am NOT a U.S. Citizen, I am NOT a citizen of the United States, I am NOT a CORPORATE FRANCHISE. I am NOT operating in interstate commerce. I am NOT a **Sovereign Citizen**. A **Sovereign Citizen is NOT a legitimate citizenship or legitimate nationality and NOT a legitimate political status**. I **OBJECT** and **DO NOT CONSENT** to being misaddressed, misrepresented, and misidentified as a "Sovereign Citizen." Only someone ignorant of citizenship, jurisdiction, and/or law would ever claim another to be a "Sovereign Citizen" which is an oxymoron. I am an **Arkansas State Citizen!** I am owed and **guaranteed** the Common Law. As a State Citizen, I am owed and guaranteed my inherent natural God given rights. *Madden v. Kentucky, 309 U.S. 83: 84 L. Ed 590 (1940)*.
  - A. *Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wendel 19, 20 (1829)* The people of a State are entitled to all rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative.
  - B. *Waring v. the mayor of Savannah* People are supreme, not the state.
  - C. (*added Stats. 1953, c. 1588, p.3270*) The people of the state do not yield sovereignty to the agencies which serve them. The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for the people to know. The people insist on remaining informed so that they may retain control over the instruments they have created.
  - D. *State v. Chase, 175 Minn, 259, 220 N.W. 951, 953*. The government is but an agency to the state, the state being the sovereign people.
  - E. *Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 353 (1935)* The Congress cannot revoke the Sovereign power of the people to override their will as this declared.
  - F. *Will v. Michigan Dept. of State Police* "The Doctrine of Sovereign Immunity is one of common-law immunities and defense that are available to the Sovereign..."
  - G. **Sovereignty**- itself is; of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies or government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts, and the law is the definition and limitation of power.
2. The Supreme Court, through multiple cases, understands the different types of citizenship. (Educational NOTE: Sovereign Citizen is NOT listed at all, because it is NOT REAL). I again **OBJECT** to being misaddressed as a "Sovereign Citizen!" I am an **Arkansas State Citizen**. I do NOT pay taxes, I am De jure. I am NOT a resident. I am NOT a citizen of the Federal government. I am NOT classified as property. I am NOT a

franchise of the federal government. I am NOT classified as a "corporate" or "individual entity." I do NOT operate in interstate commerce. I am NOT a U.S. Citizen. I am NOT a citizen of the United States. I AM OWED and GUARANTEED all my inherent God given rights and am OWED and GUARANTEED the common law - the Law of the Land! The Supreme court is very clear on the issue of citizenship just as they are clear on Jurisdiction. As a State Citizen, without a CONTRACT made by me, I CANNOT be trafficked into the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURT, a foreign court system operating a foreign law.

- A. **U.S. v. Anthony 24 Fed. 829 (1873)** "The term resident and citizen of the United States is distinguished from a Citizen of one of the several states, in that the former is a special class of citizen created by Congress."
- B. **United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875)** "We have in our political system a government of the United States and a government of each of the several States. Each one of these governments is distinct from the others, and each has citizens of its own..."
- C. **McDonel v. The State, 90 Ind. 320 (1883)** "...he was not a citizen of the United States, he was a citizen and voter of the State..." "One may be a citizen of a State and yet not a citizen of the United States".
- D. **Tashiro v. Jordan, 201 Cal. 236 (1927)** "That there is a citizenship of the United States and citizenship of a state..."
- E. **Kitchens v. Steele, 112 F. Supp 383** "A citizen of the United States is a citizen of the federal government..."
- F. **Belmont v. Town of Gulfport, 122 So. 10.** "Taxpayers are not [de jure] State Citizens."
- G. **State v. Manuel, 20 NC 122:** "the term 'citizen' in the United States, is analogous to the term 'subject' in common law; the change of phrase has resulted from the change in government."
- H. **Supreme Court: US v. Valentine 288 F. Supp. 957:** "The only absolute and unqualified right of a United States citizen is to residence within the territorial boundaries of the United States."
- I. **U.S. v. Anthony, 24 Fed. Cas. 829, 830,** "The Amendment (14th) recognized that "an individual can be a Citizen of one of the several states without being a citizen of the United States."
- J. **Slaughter-House Cases, supra; cf. U.S. v. Cruikshank, 92 US 542, 549 (1875).** "one can be a citizen of the United States without being a Citizen of a state."
- K. **U.S. v. Slater, 545 Fed. Supp. 179,182 (1982).** "Unless the defendant can prove he is not a citizen of the United States, the IRS has the right to inquire and determine a tax liability
- L. **Gardina v. Board of Registrars of Jefferson County, 160 Ala. 155; 48 So. 788 (1909)** "There are, then, under our republican form of government, two classes of citizens, one of the United States and one of the state".
- M. **Colgate v. Harvey, 296 U.S. 404; 56 S.Ct. 252 (1935)** "The governments of the United States and of each state of the several states are distinct from one another. The rights of a citizen under one may be quite different from those which he has under the other".
- N. **Madden v. Kentucky, 309 U.S. 83: 84 L.Ed. 590 (1940)** "...rights of national citizenship as distinct from the fundamental or natural rights inherent in state citizenship".
- O. **Ruhstrat v. People, 57 N.E. 41 (1900)** "There is a difference between privileges and immunities belonging to the citizens of the United States as such, and those belonging to the citizens of each state as such".
- P. **Wheeling Steel Corp. v. Fox, 298 U.S. 193, 80 L.Ed. 1143, 56 S.Ct. 773** "Therefore, the U.S. citizens residing in one of the states of the union, are classified as property and franchises of the federal government as an "individual entity"".
- Q. **Hendrick v. Maryland S.C. Reporter's Rd. 610-625. (1914)** "A "US Citizen" upon leaving the District of Columbia becomes involved in "interstate commerce", as a "resident" and does not have the common-law right to travel, like a Citizen of one of the several states does.

3. I am NOT the ALL-CAPS CORPORATE ENTITY, SHERREL JEAN COURVELLE, that was fraudulently created in my name when my mother unknowingly signed a birth

certificate as an informant, without full disclosure, without my consent, creating an unconscionable contract and creating a FICTICIOUS CORPORATE ENTITY in my name through constructive fraud. ALL Public Officials and Public Officers are Public Usufructs. They ALL have the Usufructuary Duty to hold me, the living woman, harmless from any harm, damage or injury, or debt when any form of action is taken against the ALL-CAPS CORPORATE ENTITY (SHERREL JEAN COURVELLE) that they created by constructive fraud in my name. "Fraud vitiates everything." *Nudd v. Burrows*, 91 US 426. I **OBJECT** and **DO NOT CONSENT** to being misaddressed, misrepresented or misidentified as the ALL-CAPS Fictitious CORPORATE ENTITY (SHERREL JEAN COURVELLE) fraudulently created in my name, without my knowledge or consent. This CORPORATE ENTITY was created by fraud to attempt to gain power and jurisdiction over me for profit. That fraud has been being exposed since 1998 and those who take actions against the living people will be prosecuted. I have no lawful contract with the British Territorial Subcontractor obligating me to their foreign court system and their foreign law. These CORPORATE MARITIME/ADMIRALTY/EQUITY COURTS have **NO JURISDICTION** to traffic me into their corporate system. I **DO NOT CONSENT** to being kidnapped and trafficked into this FOREIGN SUBCONTRACTOR CORPORATION in the business of offering "governmental services." I am **NOT a CORPORATE FICTION**. I have made **NO CONTRACT** with the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT OR CIRCUIT COURT obligating me to their court. I am OWED and GUARANTEED the Common Law – the Supreme Law of the Land. I am OWED and GUARANTEED ALL my natural inherent rights, as well as ALL the Constitutional guarantees. The Statutes, codes, regulations, and policies these courts and Officials operate under, **DO NOT APPLY TO ME!** They **ONLY** apply to Federal and State Employees, U.S. Citizens, citizens of the United States, and those who live within the 10 miles square of Washington D.C. (which I am NONE of these). I have **NOT SURRENDERED** my citizenship to the agencies of government!

A. *U.S. v. Minkler*, 350 US 179 at 187 (1795) Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them.

B. Hayle v. Henkel 201 US 43 at 89 (1906) The rights of the individuals are restricted only to the extent that they have been voluntarily surrendered by citizenship to the agencies of government. This is law since 1906 and has been used over 1600 times by the court system.

C. Alexander v. Bothswort, 1915, A Party cannot be bound by contract that he has not made or authorized. Free consent is an indispensable element in making valid contracts.

D. Dred Scott v. Sanford, 60 U.S. (19 How.) 393 The State Citizen is immune from any and all Government attacks and procedure, absent contract; or as the supreme court has stated clearly, "...every man is independent of all laws, except those prescribed by nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellowmen without his consent".

E. S.C.R. 1795, Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators 3 U.S. 54; 1 L.Ed. 57; 3 Dall. 54; the contracts between them "involve U.S. Citizens, which are deemed as Corporate Entities: Therefore, the U.S. Citizens residing in one of the states of the union, are classified as property and franchises of the federal government as an "Individual entity", Wheeling Steel Corp. v. Fox, 298 US 193, 80 L. Ed. 1143, 56 S. Ct. 773

4. According to the UCC-§9-307(h) the **"Location of United States; The United States is located in the District of Columbia."**

5. **"All persons born in the United States and not subject to any foreign power are declared to be citizens of the United States."** (Revised Statutes section 1992, 8 U.S.C. annotated Sec 1) Since the "United States" is located "in the District of Columbia", if you were not born "in the District of Columbia", you were not born in the "United States." Therefore, if you were born in one of the several States, you are subject to a foreign power, foreign to the United States, then you are not a "citizen of the United States." The foreign power that you are subject to is the De Jure State – Republican Form of Government - Not the CORPORATION.

6. Each State De Jure, is foreign to the District of Columbia, Inc. and foreign to the UNITED STATES, INC., located "within" the District of Columbia, Inc. Each State De Jure is also foreign to the STATE OF STATE, which is a CORPORATE FRANCHISE of the UNITED STATES, INC. An "American State National" or "State Citizen" born in one of the several States in the Union, is a "subject of a foreign state", which is foreign to the UNITED STATES, INC. pursuant to the Wong Kim Ark decision stated below, and revised **Statute Sec. 1992, 8 U.S.C. annotated Sec 1**, therefore, should NOT be misidentified and misclassified as a "citizen of the UNITED STATES" INC, or "U.S. Citizen" or "enemy combatant."

A. U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649, 18 S. Ct. 456, 42 L. Ed. 890 (1898) (Emphasis Added) "[T]he phrase 'subject to the jurisdiction thereof' was intended to exclude from its operation children of ministers, consuls, and citizens or subjects of foreign states, born within the United States." (States in the Union)

7. This proves that "State Citizens" and "American State Nationals" are foreign to the United States "government" Corporation and foreign to the STATE OF STATE

"government" Corporation. Those State Citizens fall under the Foreign Sovereigns Immunities Act because they are Sovereign and foreign to the United States, INC.

8. I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, the living woman, have been purposely misidentified as a "citizen of the UNITED STATES" a "FICTIO" enemy, a Commodity, Civilly Dead Cargo, in order for these Public Officials and Public Officers to gain jurisdiction over me. They have attempted to strip me of my Rights, my Property, and my rights to property, all for profit. Because my correct citizenship is PUBLISHED ON THE RECORD these Public Officials and Public Officers who continue to misidentify me, injure me, harm me, torture me, and traffic me, are ALL engaged in serious Crimes!
9. When Lawyers, Judges, court clerks, and law enforcement misaddress birthright State Citizens in the foreign jurisdiction of the Federal United States or that of a Federal State, and deliberately confuse living people with corporate franchises merely named after them, it is a crime of Personage. This results in press-ganging land assets into the international jurisdiction of the sea, a crime outlawed worldwide for 200 years. It is a recognized act of inland piracy and it carries the Death Penalty. Mischaracterizing the identity or citizenship status is also a crime under the Geneva Protocols 1949, Volume II, Article 3. It also carries the Death Penalty.

### **LAWFARE AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS**

10. The Writ of Habeas Corpus that I filed was concerning citizenship and jurisdiction. It clearly stated that the GARLAND COUNTY CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT COURTS did NOT have Jurisdiction to try me in their Private, CORPORATE COURTS as a living woman, a State Citizen. I am Owed and Guaranteed the Common Law as has been proven above. I exercised my right to the Arkansas State Common Law Court and was found **innocent** of **all false charges** on February 22, 2024. When the writ of Habeas Corpus was filed on June 27, 2024, the U.S. district court should have immediately recognized my citizenship, jurisdictional claims and my Common Law verdict releasing me immediately from being kidnapped and human trafficked for profit by these Private, CORPORATE COURTS. Instead, the U.S. district court has delayed the granting of my habeas corpus by six (6) months, having the appearance of being engaged in the conspiracy against my rights and depriving me of my rights under color of law.

11. I OBJECT to the DENIAL of the Writ of Habeas Corpus. I OBJECT to the FALSE statement that I only had four (4) Grounds for Relief in my Writ of Habeas Corpus. I had (23) twenty-three Grounds for Relief from pages 18-44 of my Writ of Habeas Corpus. All of which included Conspiracy against Rights and Deprivation of my rights. Each one was a deprivation of my rights from the Magna Carta, Northwest Ordinance, Declaration of Independence, Constitution of the United States of America, the Arkansas Constitution, and Ex-Parte Milligan (1866). I have been a victim of **LAWFARE** and a victim of **CONSPIRACY AGAINST RIGHTS AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW** by multiple agencies and their Officials; starting with HOT SPRINGS ANIMAL CONTROL, GARLAND COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURTS, PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS, PUBLIC DEFENDER, GARLAND COUNTY ATTORNEY, ATTORNEY GENERAL, and the ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT in violation of USC Title 18 Sections 241 & 242.

12. At this point the U.S. district court is in violation of Title 18 USC §1512, 18 USC §2076 and 18 USC §2071. On June 27, 2024, I filed the Writ of Habeas Corpus along with Attachments which were Supporting Documents to my Writ of Habeas Corpus consisting of: 1. COURT OF RECORD 2. ARKANSAS STATE COMMON LAW COURT VERDICT 3. STATE CITIZENSHIP DOCUMENTS 4. COURT TRANSCRIPTS AND ACT 3 and 5. LAWFUL BASIS FOR HABEAS CORPUS - LIBRARY OF CONGRESS EXHIBITS. All these supporting documents were refused and not filed as part of my record violating Title 18 USC §1512, §2076, §2071. These records are critical to my official proceedings.

- A. **The COURT OF RECORD** is my entire case with exhibits brought forward to the Arkansas State Common Law Grand Jury and brought before the Arkansas State Common Law Court Trial by Jury. This was my proof of all the accusations and the proof of the Conspiracy against rights and the deprivation of my rights by these Public Officials and Public Officers. The evidence also proved I committed NO CRIMES!
- B. **The ARKANSAS STATE COMMON LAW COURT VERDICT** is the judgment from a competent lawful tribunal finding me INNOCENT of all these false charges and the judgment that there was a Conspiracy against my rights from these Public Officials and officers.
- C. **The STATE CITIZEN DOCUMENTS** are proof that I am an Arkansas State Citizen. These documents are filed with the United States Secretary of State's office of Anthony Blinken and filed with the Arkansas Secretary of State's office with John Thurston and filed at the GARLAND COUNTY Land Recording office as well as The United States of America Land Recording Office.
- D. **The COURT TRANSCRIPTS AND ACT 3** are proof that the Prosecuting Attorney and Judges did not establish Jurisdiction because they NEVER produced on the RECORD the CONTRACT obligating me, a State Citizen, to their foreign COMMERCIAL/MARITIME court. That court system is an administrative court and operates according to Statutory Law and does not recognize or adhere to the Constitutions of the United States of America nor does it adhere to the Arkansas State Constitution, nor does it follow the rules of Common Law which I am guaranteed and owed. I do NOT and did NOT CONSENT to be trafficked into

that foreign court system operating a foreign law to our American Common Law. **Every action Prosecuting Attorneys, Judges and Public Defenders have taken against me since August 1, 2023, when jurisdiction was challenged, is in excess of their power and in excess of their jurisdiction and are acts of TREASON. Every JUDGEMENT and Action is NULL and VOID ab initio.**

- E. **The LAWFUL BASIS FOR HABEAS CORPUS-LIBRARY OF CONGRESS EXHIBITS** were the exhibits that confirmed pages 45-69 of my Habeas Corpus proving that the American Common Law was the established Law of this Land, and the Common Law Courts are the foundation of our county and state courts. This section also proved through Erastus C. Benedict, who is the supreme recognized authority on Admiralty Law and Federal Courts, that the Maritime courts that are operating on our counties and our states are operating illegally on our shores. Those courts only have authority to operate on the inland waterways and no more than a mile inland from those waterways. Also, if they claim to be operating as Chancery courts those are not part of our lawful American Court System either.

13. Because the U.S. district court refused to file these supporting documents that were pertinent to my official proceeding, I have been denied due process of law once again. The LAWFARE and the CONSPIRACY OF RIGHTS AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW that has been waged against me in the other courts is continuing in the U.S. district court.

14. The U.S. district court and its judges are BOUND under the Constitution of the United States of America. The Supremacy Clause found in Article 6 paragraph 2 states, "This constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made pursuant thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States shall be the **supreme Law of the land; and the Judges in every state shall be bound thereby, any thing in the constitution or Laws of any state to the Contrary notwithstanding.**"

It MUST be made clear that all judges are bound to adhere to the constitution and anything that is contrary to the constitution, isn't withstanding in law. **Marbury v. Madison** also confirms this.

- A. **Cooper v. Aaron, 358 us1, 78 s. Ct. 1401 (1958)** says that any judge that does not comply with the oath to uphold the constitution of the United States of America wars against the Constitution, acts in violation of the Supreme law of the land. The judge is engaged in the act of treason. **See also in re Sawyer, 124 US 200 (188); US v. Will, 449 US 200, 216, 101 S. Ct. 471, 66 L. Ed. 2d 392, 406 (1980); Cohens v. Virginia 19 US (6 Wheat) 264, 404, 5 L.257 (1821)**

15. For those judges, and officials who have not taken the oath of office to honor the constitution in their courts or in their positions, then those officials **are acting under color of law**. I, Sherrel Jean Courville, an Arkansas State Citizen, am owed and guaranteed the constitutions and cannot be charged, tried, convicted or sentenced within their jurisdiction of MARITIME/ADMIRALTY/EQUITY courts that do not honor or adhere to the constitutions. Because these inferior courts operate under statutes, codes,



policies and regulations that are repugnant to the constitutions, they are automatically null and void of law and hold no power.

16. I, Sherrel Jean Courville, the living woman, OBJECT to your statements claiming that my Writ of Habeas Corpus is "indecipherable." That writ is full of excerpts from the Magna Carta, Northwest Ordinance, Declaration of Independence, Constitution of the United States of America, Arkansas Constitution, and The American Admiralty It's Jurisdiction and Practice by Erastus C. Benedict. If one is unable to decipher and understand those documents, then said judges and officials of those courts have no business practicing law because those documents are the foundation of law for our country.

### **REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT**

17. I OBJECT to the Denial of my Writ of Habeas Corpus because my Common Law verdict is INDISPUTABLE! It MUST be known and understood that Article 4 Section 4 of the Constitution of the United States of America states, "The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence.

18. Now to address our Republican form of Government. The first thing that needs to be mentioned is that:

A. the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS A FOREIGN CORPORATION with respect to a state. Volume 20: Corpus Juris Section 1785: NY re: Merriam 36 N.E. 505 1441 S. Ct. 1973, 41 L.Ed.287

19. A CORPORATION cannot be a lawful government. The UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dunn and Bradstreet number is 052714196. The STATE OF ARKANSAS is a CORPORATE FRANCHISE operating under the UNITED STATES, INC. and has the Dunn and Bradstreet number of 619312569. The GARLAND COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT is also a CORPORATION with a Dunn and Bradstreet number. These CORPORATIONS again cannot be a lawful government. These FOREIGN BRITISH TERRITORIAL CORPORATIONS in the business of providing "governmental services" masquerading under color of law as our lawful government have been exposed and put on Notice since at least 1998.

20. Our lawful Republican form of Government, Land and Soil Jurisdiction, began reconstruction in 1998 following the realization that a criminal usurpation of power by the British Crown had taken over. The Republican form of Government is the Federation of States operating as The United States of America, Unincorporated. This fact is undisputed. Our Head of State is James Belcher and Fiduciary is Anna Marie Reitzinger. Our Federation of States is recognized as the lawful Republican Form of Government across the World.
21. Our State Republican Form of Government is in operation in Arkansas State and has been since 2020. All notices have been given to All Public Officials and Public Officers. Our Arkansas State Common Law Court and Grand Jury went into session on August 27, 2023, and again notice was properly given to ALL Public Officials, Public Officers and ALL COURTS in Arkansas, including the U.S. district court Western Division. Our Common Law courts operate out of the lawful Republican form of Government on the Land and Soil of Arkansas.
22. The U.S. district court is hereby put on notice that if it does not recognize the Republican Form of Government that is lawfully in operation on Arkansas and is guaranteed by the United States under Article 4 Section 4 of the Constitution of the United States of America, then it will be acting against the Constitution. Take Notice of Article 3 section 3 of the United States Constitution: Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort.
23. If the U.S. district court fails to recognize the lawful Republican Form of Government on Arkansas and fails to acknowledge and adhere to the lawful verdicts of the Common Law court, or the Common Law Grand Jury then it is engaged in levying war against the Republican Form of Government which is guaranteed by the constitution and is considered an act of treason.

#### **CHALLENGE OF JURISDICTION**

24. I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, OBJECT to the statements made saying that I have not exhausted state remedies through the Court of Appeals. That statement is FALSE because I have a Common Law Verdict that was rendered on February 22, 2024, which

is FINAL and DECLARES MY INNOCENCE. There is no need for me to continue to be trafficked into these foreign courts that operate a foreign law. Jurisdiction was challenged in the DISTRICT COURT and CIRCUIT COURT beginning on August 1, 2023. They were given 30 days to respond to that challenge. The courts and Prosecuting Attorney were silent. I then sent them an Opportunity to Cure for an added 10 days after the first 30 days. Again, the courts and Prosecuting Attorney remained silent. I then issued a Default in Dishonor to them all. The courts and Prosecuting Attorney by their silence, tacitly agreed that I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, the living woman, am an Arkansas State Citizen and do not belong in the MARITIME/SEA commercial British Territorial courts. Because I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, am NOT a U.S. Citizen, Not a Corporate franchise, Not a citizen of the United States. I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, the living woman, posted on the record who I am and what nationality and citizenship I hold, which is an Arkansas State Citizen. The burden of proof to the contrary is on the Prosecuting Attorney. But instead of answering jurisdiction on the record, they continued to pursue me, relentlessly, making up more false charges and issuing false warrants, resulting in false imprisonment and a Double Jeopardy, Kangaroo Jury trial and false Judgments. Each and every action that has been taken against me, the living woman, from August 1, 2023, is in excess of their jurisdiction and in excess of their power as well as a usurpation of power.

25. I am NOT in their Jurisdiction. There is NO CONTRACT obligating me to their Private COPRPORATE COURTS. The Kangaroo court that the GARLAND COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT held on September 23, 2024, and the Judgment that was given, IS NULL AND VOID AB INITIO for want of Jurisdiction. The Court NEVER established Jurisdiction on the record and is acting in TREASON. The Supreme Court rulings give clear and precise perimeters for jurisdiction and the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURT is in violation. The DISTRICT COURT and CIRCUIT COURT are required to know the law. They are also required to know and understand Jurisdiction and the process in which a challenge of jurisdiction occurs. The process and case law regarding the issue of jurisdiction is absolute.

A. Jurisdiction can be challenged at any time and once challenged, it cannot be assumed and must be decided.

**Basso v. Utah Power and Light**

B. The law provides that once State and Federal Jurisdiction is challenged, it must be proven. **Main v. Thiboutot**

- C. A court has no jurisdiction to determine its own jurisdiction, for a basic issue in any case before a tribunal is its power to act, and a court must have the authority to decide that question in the first instance. **Rescue Army v. Municipal Court of Los Angeles**
- D. The burden of proof of jurisdiction lies with the asserter. **McNutt v. GMAC**
- E. Court must prove on the record all jurisdiction facts relating to the jurisdiction asserted. **Latana v. Hopper**
- F. No officer can acquire jurisdiction by deciding he has it. The officer, whether judicial or ministerial, decides at his own peril. **Middleton v. Low**
- G. Jurisdiction is fundamental and a judgment rendered by a court that does not have jurisdiction to hear is void ab initio. **In Re Application of Wyatt, 330 P. 132; Re Cavitt, 118 P2d 846.**
- H. When it clearly appears that the court lacks jurisdiction, the court has no authority to reach the merits. In such a situation the action should be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. **Melo v. United States**
- I. Thus, where a judicial tribunal has no jurisdiction of the subject matter on which it assumes to act, its proceedings are absolutely void in the fullest sense of the term. **Dillon v. Dillon**
- J. A universal principle as old as the law is that proceedings of a court without jurisdiction are a nullity and its judgment therein without effect either on person or property. **Norwood v. Renfield**
- K. When a judge acts as a trespasser of the law, when a judge does not follow the law, he then loses subject matter jurisdiction and the judge's orders are void, of no legal force or affect. **Ulrich v. Butler**
- L. When a judge acts intentionally and knowingly to deprive a person of his constitutional rights, he exercises no discretion or individual judgment; he acts no longer as a judge, but as a minister of his own prejudices. **Nordloh v. Packard.**

## CONCLUSION

26. In Conclusion, I OBJECT to the Denial of the Writ of Habeas Corpus. I OBJECT to being misaddressed as a U.S. Citizen or a citizen of the United States. I OBJECT to being misrepresented as a "Sovereign Citizen". I OBJECT to being misrepresented as a fictitious CORPORATE ENTITY. I OBJECT to being kidnapped and trafficked into the Foreign Corporation Court. I OBJECT and I have NO CONTRACT obligating me to the Private Foreign Corporate Court System. I OBJECT, I have not Surrendered my citizenship to the agencies of government. I OBJECT to the statements claiming that my Writ of Habeas Corpus is "indecipherable." I OBJECT to the statement that my Writ of Habeas Corpus only had four (4) Grounds of Relief, there were actually twenty-three (23). I OBJECT to the Denial of the Writ of Habeas Corpus because my Common Law Verdict is indisputable. I OBJECT to the statements made saying that I have not exhausted state remedies through the Court of Appeals because I DO NOT come under their Private Foreign Corporate jurisdiction. **All actions and all judgments that these foreign Private Corporate Courts have made against me ARE NULL AND VOID AB INITIO for want of Jurisdiction!**
27. It has been proven that I am an Arkansas State Citizen, I am Owed and Guaranteed the Common Law and the Constitutions of the United States of America. I exercised my inalienable right to the Arkansas State Common Law Court and have an indisputable

verdict declaring my innocence. The Arkansas State Common Law Court operates out of The Arkansas Assembly which is the lawful Republican Form of Government on Arkansas of, by, and for the people guaranteed by Article 4 Section 4 of the Constitution of the United States of America.

28. If the U.S. district court denies my Writ of Habeas Corpus, then it will be engaged in Conspiracy against Rights and Deprivation of Rights under color of law. It will be engaged in acts of treason against the constitution and levying war against the United States (Republican form of Government of the State) and bringing aid and comfort to the enemies of the State.
29. The U.S. district court is bound by the Constitution of the United States of America and therefore can ONLY Grant my Writ of Habeas Corpus and release me from being kidnapped and human trafficked for profit. They MUST make void all charges and judgments against me from the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURTS.
30. Your honors, I remind you that you have the duty to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United States of America. It is your duty to render the judgment and all actions from the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT COURT AND CIRCUIT COURT null and void ab initio. It is also your duty to refund all charges and fines that I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, the living woman have incurred and to provide just compensation for my stolen property. (seven (7) Equine). It is also your duty to release me from unlawful imprisonment, and reverse, dismiss and eliminate from the record all false charges and all false judgments carried out illegally against me from the GARLAND COUNTY DISTRICT and CIRCUIT COURTS.
31. I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, am also due reasonable compensation for damages to my home, including injury, loss of time from gainful employment, loss of time from kidnapping, human trafficking, loss of freedom, separation from my minor children, et al.
32. I, Sherrel Jean Courvelle, am also owed reasonable compensation for all Assistance of Counsel fees for Kimberly Baker.

Respectfully Submitted